

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,804	10/01/2001	Holger Hauptmann	0475-0193P	6560
2292 7	590 07/26/2002			
-	WART KOLASCH & I	BIRCH	EXAMI	INER
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			FIORILLA, CHRISTOPHER A	
I ALLS CITON	CII, VA 22040-0747			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/2002	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N .	plicant(s)
		09/890,804	HAUPTMANN ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Christopher A. Fiorilla	1731
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	orrespondence address -
Period fo	• •	VIC CET TO EVOIDE A MONTH	(C) FDOM
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute pely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 I	<u>May 2002</u> .	
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under		
Dispositi	on of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application	l.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-9 and 11-13</u> is/are	withdrawn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 10</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	r election requirement.	
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10) 🔲 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accept	oted or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11)[he proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)□ approved b)□ disappro	oved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	·	
12)[_] 1	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	.)-(d) or (f).
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	* *	
Attachment	(s)		
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>6</u>	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)
6. Patent and Tr		tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 10

Application/Control Number: 09/890,804

Art Unit: 1731

1. Applicant's election with traverse of "species b" in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that (1) a firing material having the same or different physical property is not a basis for species election and (2) to contest the holding of unity by the PCT administrative authority is improper, and (3) the technical features of claim 1 make a contribution over the art. This is not found persuasive because the type of firing material is indeed proper grounds for species restriction. The use of different types of materials results in different processes. The examiner is not precluded from restricting the U.S. application based on the unity of invention finding in the PCT application. Finally, claim 1 is anticipated by Thurnauer et al. US 3,904,352 and thus the technical features therein do not make acontribution over the art.

Page 2

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 2. Claims 6-9 and 11-13 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 9.
- 3. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,804 Page 3

Art Unit: 1731

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 4. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains language which may be implied (i.e. "The invention relates to..."). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 5. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

- (e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino

Art Unit: 1731

acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

6. Claims 1-5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. See 37 CFR 1.75(i). See MPEP 608.01(m).

Claim 1 is indefinite in that it recites "resting a firng material...on supports" and then further recites "which...allow a contact-free support". It is not understood how there can be contact-free support if the firing material is rested on supports.

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 1,3,4,5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Thurnauer et al. (3,904,352).

Page 5

Art Unit: 1731

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 11. Claims 1-5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thurnauer et al. (3,904,352) in view of Tyszblat (5,447,967).

Thurnauer et al. teaches the basic claimed process of sintering a ceramic article. The process of Thurnauer et al. includes the steps of: placing a preformed ceramic body on firing supports in the shape of rods or spheres (col. 6, lines 27-32); and firing the preformed body to obtain a sintered ceramic. Thurnauer et al. also discloses examples of specific materials for the support material and the firing material, see col. 3, lines 43-54.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,804 Page 6

Art Unit: 1731

Tyszblat discloses a sintered ceramic prosthesis. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to use the sintering method of Thurnauer et al. to sinter the products of Tyszblat in order to obtain a sintered product with minimal distortion.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Fiorilla whose telephone number is 703-308-0674. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 6:30am-3:00pm, but works a flexible schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 703-308-3837. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7718 for regular communications and 703-305-3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Christopher A. Fiorilla Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731

caf July 18, 2002